



# Roles of Clonal Integration in both Heterogeneous and Homogeneous Habitats

Haijie Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Fenghong Liu<sup>2</sup>, Renqing Wang<sup>1,3</sup> and Jian Liu<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Environmental Research, Shandong University, Jinan, China, <sup>2</sup> National Science Library, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China, <sup>3</sup> School of Life Sciences, Shandong University, Jinan, China

## OPEN ACCESS

### Edited by:

Fei-Hai Yu,  
Beijing Forestry University, China

### Reviewed by:

Wen-hua You,  
Jiangsu University, China  
Chengyuan Xu,  
Central Queensland University,  
Australia

### \*Correspondence:

Jian Liu  
[ecology@sdu.edu.cn](mailto:ecology@sdu.edu.cn)

### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to  
Agroecology and Land Use Systems,  
a section of the journal  
Frontiers in Plant Science

**Received:** 03 December 2015

**Accepted:** 11 April 2016

**Published:** 26 April 2016

### Citation:

Zhang H, Liu F, Wang R and Liu J  
(2016) Roles of Clonal Integration  
in both Heterogeneous  
and Homogeneous Habitats.  
*Front. Plant Sci.* 7:551.  
doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.00551

Many studies have shown that clonal integration can promote the performance of clonal plants in heterogeneous habitats, but the roles of clonal integration in both heterogeneous and homogeneous habitats were rarely studied simultaneously. Ramet pairs of *Alternanthera philoxeroides* (Mart.) Griseb were placed in two habitats either heterogeneous or homogeneous in soil nutrient availability, with stolon connections left intact or severed. Total biomass, total length of stolons, and number of new ramets of distal (relatively young) ramets located in low-nutrient environments were significantly greater when the distal ramets were connected to than when they were disconnected from proximal (relatively old) ramets located in high-nutrient environments. Total length of stolons of proximal ramets growing in low-nutrient environments was significantly higher when the proximal ramets were connected to than when they were disconnected from the distal ramets growing in high-nutrient environments, but stolon connection did not affect total biomass or number of new ramets of the proximal ramets. Stolon severing also did not affect the growth of the whole ramet pairs in heterogeneous environments. In homogeneous high-nutrient environments stolon severing promoted the growth of the proximal ramets and the ramet pairs, but in homogeneous low-nutrient environments it did not affect the growth of the proximal or distal ramets. Hence, for *A. philoxeroides*, clonal fragmentation appears to be more advantageous than clonal integration in resource-rich homogeneous habitats, and clonal integration becomes beneficial in heterogeneous habitats. Our study contributes to revealing roles of clonal integration in both heterogeneous and homogeneous habitats and expansion patterns of invasive clonal plants such as *A. philoxeroides* in multifarious habitats.

**Keywords:** *Alternanthera philoxeroides*, clonal integration, heterogeneous habitat, homogeneous habitat, nutrients, severing

## INTRODUCTION

Plant invasion has become a significant threat to biodiversity, environment, and economy both globally and locally (Mack et al., 2000; Liu et al., 2005; Vila et al., 2011). Many notorious invasive plants are clonal, with the capability of vigorous clonal propagation (Dong, 1996; Pysek et al., 2003; Liu et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2008; Keser et al., 2014). For example, *Alternanthera philoxeroides* (Mart.) Griseb is an invasive clonal plant, which has heavily invaded many areas of the world (Julien et al., 1995; Ye et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2015). Clonal growth has been considered

an important trait for invasive clonal plants (Liu et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2009; Xu et al., 2010; Roiloa et al., 2013; Song et al., 2013; You et al., 2013). For instance, *A. philoxeroides* can expand from terrestrial to aquatic environments with the support of clonal integration (Wang et al., 2009). Clonal integration can also aid the spreading of *A. philoxeroides* and *Vallisneria spiralis* L. into competitive environments (Xiao et al., 2011; You et al., 2014).

Heterogeneity is common in nature (Hutchings and Wijesinghe, 1997; Alpert, 1999; Dong et al., 2015; Keser et al., 2015). Numerous studies have investigated the strategies of clonal plants to cope with habitats with heterogeneous distributions of, e.g., nutrients, light, space, and others (Liu et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2009; Xu et al., 2010; Song et al., 2013; Roiloa et al., 2014b). These studies have shown that in heterogeneous environments, ramets exposed to stressful environments commonly perform better when they are integrated with ramets located in non-stressful conditions (Wang et al., 2008; Xu et al., 2010; Song et al., 2013; You et al., 2013; Roiloa et al., 2014a).


Natural environments can also be homogeneous at the scale of plant growth (Stuefer, 1996; Dong et al., 2015). Theoretical models (Caraco and Kelly, 1991; Alpert, 1999) have predicted that clonal integration may be disadvantageous in environments with a homogeneous supply of resources. In a recent study, however, Dong et al. (2015) developed a conceptual model showing that clonal integration may also have a positive effect on the growth of clonal plants when connected ramets in resource-rich habitats have different uptake abilities. Some studies have tested the roles of clonal integration in homogeneous environments (e.g., Salzman and Parker, 1985; Evans and Whitney, 1992; Yu et al., 2002; He et al., 2011), but very few have detected a significant effect.

We conducted a greenhouse experiment on *A. philoxeroides* to test effects of clonal integration in both heterogeneous and homogeneous environments. We grew ramet pairs of *A. philoxeroides* in both homogeneous high and low soil nutrient conditions and heterogeneous conditions with a high and a low soil nutrient patch, with the stolon connecting the two ramets of a pair severed or left connected. We detected that clonal integration could play significant roles in both homogeneous and heterogeneous environments.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Plant Materials

*Alternanthera philoxeroides* is a perennial weed from the family Amaranthaceae. It is native to South America but widespread in a variety of habitats around the world (Julien et al., 1995; Geng et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2015). In most of the introduced regions, it reproduces asexually, primarily from stem nodes and shoot fragments (Julien et al., 1995; Geng et al., 2007). Despite the extremely low genetic diversity of *A. philoxeroides* in China (Xu et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2005), the species experienced massive vegetative propagation and rapid expansion in China (Xu et al., 2003; Ye et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2005; Zhu et al., 2015).




We collected eight *A. philoxeroides* plants from a cropland in Kunming, China, in April, and transplanted them into a greenhouse under natural sunlight and ambient temperature for propagation. After 4 months of cultivation, we selected newly produced ramet pairs that were similar in size. To eliminate possible effects of genotype, ramet pairs in every treatment were from the eight original plants. Also, genetic diversity of *A. philoxeroides* is extremely low in China (Xu et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2005). In each ramet pair, the two ramets were connected and were rooted in two plastic pots filled with river sand. The ramets were standardized to the same size (two leaves and 2-cm-long root). Standardization was conducted once a week and repeated three times.

### Experimental Design

The treatments with different soil nutrient availabilities and stolon severing were performed on August 14. The ramets in each pair (64 pairs) were exposed to: (1) heterogeneous distribution of soil nutrients (high in proximal ramet and low in distal ramet, HL); (2) heterogeneous distribution of soil nutrients (low in proximal ramet and high in distal ramet, LH); (3) homogeneous distribution of high levels of soil nutrients (high in both proximal and distal ramets, HH); and (4) homogeneous distribution of low levels of soil nutrients (low in both proximal and distal ramets, LL). There were 16 ramet pairs for every nutrient treatment, and eight with connected stolons (C) and eight with severed stolons (S) (Figure 1). There were eight replicates in each of the eight treatments. The ramet pairs for the experiment were taken from eight plants and all treatments included ramet pairs originating from the same eight initial plants.

To create low level of nutrients, 0.1 g Peters Professional (20% N, 20% P, 20% K; The Scotts Company, LLC., Marysville, OH, USA) was added to the sand at the beginning of the experiment; this amount supports the survival of *A. philoxeroides* but restricts



its growth. To create high level of nutrients, 0.1 g Peters Professional was added daily to each pot during the experimental period to promote plant growth. All pots were placed randomly in the greenhouse and watered daily with 100 mL of water every afternoon. The temperature in the greenhouse was about 20–35°C and the light intensity was 70% of full daylight during the experiment. The treatments were conducted for 2 months and the plants were harvested on October 15.

## Measurement and Data Analysis

Before the harvest, number of new ramets for each proximal and distal ramet was counted. The roots were then washed by hand to remove sand and plants were harvested and stored in a refrigerator (5°C) for further measurements. The total length of each stolon was measured and the plants were separated into roots, leaves, and stolons and oven-dried at 70°C for 72 h to determine the dry weight.

Before analysis, the data that did not meet normality were log transformed. We analyzed the growth and biomass allocation of *A. philoxeroides* in heterogeneous and homogeneous habitats with respect to different effects of clonal integration and various nutrient availabilities in the two habitats. The data were analyzed by using one-way analysis of variance and Duncan test. All analyses were conducted in IBM SPSS Statistics 19 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

## RESULTS

### Growth and Biomass Allocation in Heterogeneous Habitats

In treatments with heterogeneous distribution of nutrients, total biomass ( $F = 0.630$ ,  $p > 0.05$ ), total length of stolons ( $F = 0.699$ ,


$p > 0.05$ ), and number of new ramets ( $F = 1.311$ ,  $p > 0.05$ ) of each ramet pair (proximal ramet plus distal ramet) did not show a significant difference among treatments (**Figures 2A,C,D**). However, root to shoot ratio of ramets exposed to HL(C) and LH(C) was significantly higher than that in HL(S) and LH(S) ( $F = 6.262$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ; **Figure 2B**).

Total biomass of proximal ramets did not differ significantly between HL(C) and HL(S), but total biomass of distal ramets was significantly higher in HL(C) than in HL(S) (**Figure 3A**). In contrast, total biomass of proximal or distal ramets did not show significant differences between LH(C) and LH(S) (**Figure 3A**). Root to shoot ratio of proximal ramets in LH(S) was the highest among the four treatments (**Figure 3B**). Root to shoot ratio of proximal ramets in HL(C) was similar to that in LH(C), but significantly higher than that in HL(S) (**Figure 3B**). Similarly, root to shoot ratio of distal ramets in HL(S) was the highest among the four treatments (**Figure 3B**). Root to shoot ratio of distal ramets in LH(C), similar to distal ramets in HL(C), exceeded that of distal ramets in LH(S) (**Figure 3B**). Total stolon length of proximal ramets in HL(C) and HL(S) was similar, whereas that of distal ramets was significantly greater in HL(C) than in HL(S) (**Figure 3C**). Likewise, total stolon length of distal ramets did not show significant difference between LH(C) and LH(S), whereas total stolon length of proximal ramets was greater in LH(C) than in LH(S) (**Figure 3C**). In addition, number of new ramets showed a similar trend to that of total biomass (**Figure 3D**).


## Growth and Biomass Allocation in Homogeneous Habitats

In treatments with homogeneous nutrient availabilities, total biomass of ramet pairs (proximal ramet plus distal ramet) was significant smaller in HH(C) than in HH(S) and it did not differ between LL(C) and LL(S) ( $F = 47.927$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ; **Figure 4A**). Root to shoot ratio showed no difference between stolon-connected and stolon-severed treatments in high (HH[C] and HH[S]) or low (LL[C] and LL[S]) nutrient availabilities, while the ratio was much lower in high nutrient availabilities than in low nutrient availabilities ( $F = 24.673$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ; **Figure 4B**). Total stolon length of ramet pairs exhibited the same trends as total biomass among the four treatments ( $F = 65.073$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ; **Figure 4C**). Ramet pairs had similar number of new ramets between HH(C) and HH(S), but ramet pairs had less new ramets in LL(C) than in LL(S) ( $F = 26.488$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ; **Figure 4D**).

In homogeneous high nutrient conditions, total biomass of proximal ramets was significantly smaller in HH(C) than in HH(S), while total biomass of distal ramets in HH(C) and HH(S) did not show any difference (**Figure 5A**). In homogeneous low nutrient availabilities, total biomass of proximal or distal ramets showed no difference between LL(C) and in LL(S) (**Figure 5A**). Root to shoot ratio of proximal ramets was similar between HH(C) and HH(S) or between LL(C) and LL(S), and so it was for root to shoot ratio of distal ramets (**Figure 5B**). Total stolon length of proximal



and distal ramets exhibited the similar trends to that of total biomass (**Figure 5C**). Number of new ramets of proximal ramets was significantly less in HH(C) than in HH(S), but that of distal ramets was not significantly different between HH(C) and HH(S) (**Figure 5D**). New ramets of proximal and distal ramets showed similar trends in low nutrient (LL[C] and LL[S]) availabilities to that in high nutrient availabilities (**Figure 5D**).



**FIGURE 4 | Growth and biomass allocation of ramet pairs in homogeneous habitats. (A)** Total biomass, **(B)** root to shoot ratio, **(C)** total length of stolons, **(D)** number of new ramets. Values sharing the same letter are not significantly different at  $\alpha = 0.05$ . Total biomass, total length of stolons, and number of new ramets were log transformed to satisfy the normality and homogeneity of variance.

## DISCUSSION

### Clonal Integration in Heterogeneous Habitats


In heterogeneous habitats, clonal plants translocate resources among ramets through clonal integration, promoting the growth of ramets in stressful habitats (Wang et al., 2008; Xu et al., 2010; Song et al., 2013; Roiloa et al., 2014a). In the present study, we confirmed that in heterogeneous soil nutrient conditions total biomass, total length of stolons, and number of new ramets of distal ramets were significantly greater when stolon connection between ramets were left intact than when it was severed. Clonal integration did not reduce the growth of proximal ramets, which was in agreement with previous reports (Pauliukonis and Gough, 2004; Song et al., 2013). However, clonal integration did not affect the growth of ramet pairs, disagreeing with previous findings of a meta-analysis (Song et al., 2013). This may be because performance of proximal ramets was much better than

that of distal ramets in all ramet pairs under this nutrient condition.

When proximal ramets were grown in low nutrient conditions and distal ramets in high nutrient conditions, clonal integration increased total length of stolons but did not affect total biomass or number of new ramets in proximal ramets. Therefore, proximal ramets in low nutrient conditions benefited a little from distal ramets in high nutrient conditions, which agreed with the study on *Hydrocotyle peduncularis* (Peterson and Chesson, 2002). The performance of ramets in two heterogeneous habitats indicate that clonal integration of *A. philoxeroides* is bidirectional and differentiated. It is highly acropetal and lowly basipetal, and distal ramets can obtain more resources than proximal ramets when they are grown in low nutrient habitats and connected to ramets in high nutrient habitats. This effect may promote the escape of this species from barren habitats in heterogeneous nutrient environments (Zhou et al., 2012).

Clonal integration increased root to shoot ratio of ramet pairs in heterogeneous habitats. Specifically, clonal integration





increased root to shoot ratio of ramets in high-nutrient conditions but decreased root to shoot ratio of ramets in low-nutrient conditions. As biomass of ramets was much larger in high-nutrient conditions than in low-nutrient conditions, root to shoot ratio of ramet pairs was dominated by ramets in the zone with high nutrient availabilities. These results indicated that labor division occurred in proximal and distal ramets in heterogeneous nutrient conditions (You et al., 2014). However, when stolon connections were severed, ramets located in low-nutrient habitats directed more biomass into roots to enhance

absorption, and ramets in high-nutrient habitats allocated less biomass to roots. Therefore, *A. philoxeroides* has high plasticity in biomass allocation no matter whether stolon connection was severed or not, which may contribute to its invasiveness (Geng et al., 2007; Kesar et al., 2014).

## Effects of Stolon Severing in Homogeneous Habitats

Many studies showed that stolon severing decreases the growth of distal ramets and increases the performance of proximal ramets in heterogeneous habitats (Wang et al., 2009; Song et al., 2013). It is believed that clonal integration does not affect performance of clonal plants in homogeneous habitats (Evans and Whitney, 1992; Yu et al., 2002; He et al., 2011). Nevertheless, in our study, stolon severing played significant roles in homogeneous habitats. In habitats with homogeneous distribution of high nutrient availabilities, stolon severing increased biomass of proximal ramets and the whole ramet pairs but did not affect that of distal ramets. Similarly, stolon severing increased biomass of proximal ramets and the entire clone in *Pistia stratiotes* (Wang et al., 2014). It is considered that severing eliminates the effects of distal ramets on proximal ramets (such as resource transportation, metabolic costs, and apical dominance), resulting in increasing growth of proximal ramets (Pauliukonis and Gough, 2004; Wang et al., 2009, 2014). By comparison, in habitats with homogeneous distribution of low nutrient availabilities, severing did not affect biomass of proximal or distal ramets as well as whole ramet pairs. These results demonstrated that clonal fragmentation was more advantageous than clonal integration in high nutrient habitats (Oborny and Kun, 2002).

In homogeneous habitats, root to shoot ratio was significantly lower in high-nutrient conditions than in low-nutrient conditions no matter whether stolons were severed or not. Stolon severing increased total length of stolons and number of new ramets, especially in proximal ramets, under homogeneous high-nutrient conditions, which was different from the performance of *P. stratiotes* (Wang et al., 2014). This difference is mostly the result of species-specific plasticity, just as the effects of clonal integration on morphological traits of *A. philoxeroides* and *Phyla canescens* are species-specific (Xu et al., 2012). These results also confirmed high plasticity of *A. philoxeroides*, which partly answers why *A. philoxeroides* can live in diverse habitats (Geng et al., 2007).

## CONCLUSION

Clonal integration, which is bidirectional and differentiated in *A. philoxeroides*, could not significantly promote biomass accumulation of ramet pairs of *A. philoxeroides* but increased total length of stolons and number of new ramets of proximal or distal ramets in stressful habitats, contributing to the spreading of *A. philoxeroides* in heterogeneous habitats. In habitats with homogeneous distribution of high nutrient availabilities, stolon severing—which often occurs due to natural and/or artificial disturbance—promoted growth of proximal ramets and ramet pairs, owing to the absence of the effects from distal ramets.

Hence, *A. philoxeroides*, with high plasticity, can employ different strategies to cope with various habitats. Our study contributes to revealing roles of clonal integration in both heterogeneous and homogeneous habitats and expansion patterns of invasive clonal plants such as *A. philoxeroides* in multifarious habitats.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceived and designed the experiments: JL, HZ, and FL. Performed the experiments: JL and HZ. Analyzed the data: JL, HZ, and RW. Wrote the paper: HZ, JL, FL, and RW.

## REFERENCES

- Alpert, P. (1999). Clonal integration in *Fragaria chiloensis* differs between populations: ramets from grassland are selfish. *Oecologia* 120, 69–76. doi: 10.1007/s004420050834
- Caraco, T., and Kelly, C. K. (1991). On the adaptive value of physiological integration in clonal plants. *Ecology* 72, 81–93. doi: 10.2307/1938904
- Dong, B. C., Alpert, P., Zhang, Q., and Yu, F. H. (2015). Clonal integration in homogeneous environments increases performance of *Alternanthera philoxeroides*. *Oecologia* 179, 393–403. doi: 10.1007/s00442-015-3338-y
- Dong, M. (1996). Clonal growth in plants in relation to resource heterogeneity: foraging behavior. *Acta Bot. Sin. (in Chinese)* 38, 828–835.
- Evans, J. P., and Whitney, S. (1992). Clonal integration across a salt gradient by a nonhalophyte, *Hydrocotyle bonariensis* (Apiaceae). *Am. J. Bot.* 79, 1344–1347. doi: 10.2307/2445132
- Geng, Y. P., Pan, X. Y., Xu, C. Y., Zhang, W. J., Li, B., Chen, J. K., et al. (2007). Phenotypic plasticity rather than locally adapted ecotypes allows the invasive alligator weed to colonize a wide range of habitats. *Biol. Invasions* 9, 245–256. doi: 10.1007/s10530-006-9029-1
- He, W. M., Alpert, P., Yu, F. H., Zhang, L. L., and Dong, M. (2011). Reciprocal and coincident patchiness of multiple resources differentially affect benefits of clonal integration in two perennial plants. *J. Ecol.* 99, 1202–1210. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2745.2011.01848.x
- Hutchings, M. J., and Wijesinghe, D. K. (1997). Patchy habitats, division of labour and growth dividends in clonal plants. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 12, 390–394. doi: 10.1016/S0169-5347(97)87382-X
- Julien, M. H., Skarratt, B., and Maywald, G. F. (1995). Potential geographical distribution of alligator weed and its biological control by *Agasicles hygrophila*. *J. Aquat. Plant Manag.* 33, 55–60.
- Keser, L. H., Dawson, W., Song, Y. B., Yu, F. H., Fischer, M., Dong, M., et al. (2014). Invasive clonal plant species have a greater root-foraging plasticity than non-invasive ones. *Oecologia* 174, 1055–1064. doi: 10.1007/s00442-013-2829-y
- Keser, L. H., Visser, E. J., Dawson, W., Song, Y. B., Yu, F. H., Fischer, M., et al. (2015). Herbaceous plant species invading natural areas tend to have stronger adaptive root foraging than other naturalized species. *Front. Plant Sci.* 6:273. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2015.00273
- Liu, J., Dong, M., Miao, S. L., Li, Z. Y., Song, M. H., and Wang, R. Q. (2006). Invasive alien plants in China: role of clonality and geographical origin. *Biol. Invasion.* 8, 1461–1470. doi: 10.1007/s10530-005-5838-x
- Liu, J., He, W. M., Zhang, S. M., Liu, F. H., Dong, M., and Wang, R. Q. (2008). Effects of clonal integration on photosynthesis of the invasive clonal plant *Alternanthera philoxeroides*. *Photosynthetica* 46, 299–302. doi: 10.1007/s11099-008-0054-4
- Liu, J., Liang, S. C., Liu, F. H., Wang, R. Q., and Dong, M. (2005). Invasive alien plant species in China: regional distribution patterns. *Divers. Distrib.* 11, 341–347. doi: 10.1111/j.1366-9516.2005.00162.x
- Mack, R. N., Simberloff, D., Lonsdale, W. M., Evans, H., Clout, M., and Bazzaz, F. A. (2000). Biotic invasions: causes, epidemiology, global consequences, and control. *Ecol. Appl.* 10, 689–710.
- Oborny, B., and Kun, A. (2002). Fragmentation of clones: how does it influence dispersal and competitive ability? *Evol. Ecol.* 15, 319–346. doi: 10.1023/A:1016084815108

## FUNDING

This study was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 31200426; 31100300) and The Fundamental Research Funds of Shandong University (No. 2015JC023).

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to the editor and reviewers for their insightful suggestions. We thank Editage for the English language editing.

- Pauliukonis, N., and Gough, L. (2004). Effects of the loss of clonal integration on four sedges that differ in ramet aggregation. *Plant Ecol.* 173, 1–15. doi: 10.1023/B:VEGE.0000026342.25767.17
- Peterson, A. G., and Chesson, P. (2002). Short-term fitness benefits of physiological integration in the clonal herb *Hydrocotyle peduncularis*. *Aust. Ecol.* 27, 647–657. doi: 10.1046/j.1442-9993.2002.01219.x
- Pyske, P., Brock, J. H., Bimova, K., Mandak, B., Jarosik, V., Koukolikova, I., et al. (2003). Vegetative regeneration in invasive *Reynoutria* (polygonaceae) taxa: the determinant of invasibility at the genotype level. *Am. J. Bot.* 90, 1487–1495. doi: 10.3732/ajb.90.10.1487
- Roiola, S. R., Antelo, B., and Retuerto, R. (2014a). Physiological integration modifies delta15N in the clonal plant *Fragaria vesca*, suggesting preferential transport of nitrogen to water-stressed offspring. *Ann. Bot.* 114, 399–411. doi: 10.1093/aob/mcu064
- Roiola, S. R., Rodriguez-Echeverria, S., and Freitas, H. (2013). Effect of physiological integration in self/non-self genotype recognition on the clonal invader *Carpobrotus edulis*. *J. Plant Ecol.* 7, 413–418. doi: 10.1093/jpe/rtt045
- Roiola, S. R., Sánchez-Rodríguez, P., and Retuerto, R. (2014b). Heterogeneous distribution of soil nutrients increase intra-specific competition in the clonal plant *Glechoma hederacea*. *Plant Ecol.* 215, 863–873. doi: 10.1007/s11258-014-0338-7
- Salzman, A. G., and Parker, M. A. (1985). Neighbours ameliorate local salinity stress for a rhizomatous plant in a heterogeneous environment. *Oecologia* 65, 273–277. doi: 10.1007/BF00379229
- Song, Y. B., Yu, F. H., Keser, L. H., Dawson, W., Fischer, M., Dong, M., et al. (2013). United we stand, divided we fall: a meta-analysis of experiments on clonal integration and its relationship to invasiveness. *Oecologia* 171, 317–327. doi: 10.1007/s00442-012-2430-9
- Stuefer, J. F. (1996). Potential and limitations of current concepts regarding the response of clonal plants to environmental heterogeneity. *Vegetatio* 127, 55–70. doi: 10.1007/BF00054847
- Vila, M., Espinar, J. L., Hejda, M., Hulme, P. E., Jarosik, V., Maron, J. L., et al. (2011). Ecological impacts of invasive alien plants: a meta-analysis of their effects on species, communities and ecosystems. *Ecol. Lett.* 14, 702–708. doi: 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01628.x
- Wang, B., Li, W., and Wang, J. (2005). Genetic diversity of *Alternanthera philoxeroides* in China. *Aquat. Bot.* 81, 277–283. doi: 10.1016/j.aquabot.2005.01.004
- Wang, N., Yu, F. H., Li, P. X., He, W. M., Liu, F. H., Liu, J. M., et al. (2008). Clonal integration affects growth, photosynthetic efficiency and biomass allocation, but not the competitive ability, of the alien invasive *Alternanthera philoxeroides* under severe stress. *Ann. Bot.* 101, 671–678. doi: 10.1093/aob/mcn005
- Wang, N., Yu, F. H., Li, P. X., He, W. M., Liu, J., Yu, G. L., et al. (2009). Clonal integration supports the expansion from terrestrial to aquatic environments of the amphibious stoloniferous herb *Alternanthera philoxeroides*. *Plant Biol.* 11, 483–489. doi: 10.1111/j.1438-8677.2008.00133.x
- Wang, P., Xu, Y. S., Dong, B. C., Xue, W., and Yu, F. H. (2014). Effects of clonal fragmentation on intraspecific competition of a stoloniferous floating plant. *Plant Biol.* 16, 1121–1126. doi: 10.1111/plb.12170
- Xiao, K., Yu, D., Wang, L., and Han, Y. (2011). Physiological integration helps a clonal macrophyte spread into competitive environments and coexist with other species. *Aquat. Bot.* 95, 249–253. doi: 10.1016/j.aquabot.2011.07.002

- Xu, C. Y., Schooler, S. S., and Van Klinken, R. D. (2010). Effects of clonal integration and light availability on the growth and physiology of two invasive herbs. *J. Ecol.* 98, 833–844. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2745.2010.01668.x
- Xu, C. Y., Schooler, S. S., and Van Klinken, R. D. (2012). Differential influence of clonal integration on morphological and growth responses to light in two invasive herbs. *PLoS ONE* 7:e35873. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0035873
- Xu, C. Y., Zhang, W. J., Fu, C. Z., and Lu, B. R. (2003). Genetic diversity of alligator weed in China by RAPD analysis. *Biodivers. Conserv.* 12, 637–645. doi: 10.1023/A:1022453129662
- Ye, W. H., Li, J., Cao, H. L., and Ge, X. J. (2003). Genetic uniformity of *Alternanthera philoxeroides* in South China. *Weed Res.* 43, 297–302. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-3180.2003.00346.x
- You, W., Fan, S., Yu, D., Xie, D., and Liu, C. (2014). An invasive clonal plant benefits from clonal integration more than a co-occurring native plant in nutrient-patchy and competitive environments. *PLoS ONE* 9:e97246. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0097246
- You, W., Yu, D., Liu, C., Xie, D., and Xiong, W. (2013). Clonal integration facilitates invasiveness of the alien aquatic plant *Myriophyllum aquaticum* L. under heterogeneous water availability. *Hydrobiologia* 718, 27–39. doi: 10.1007/s10750-013-1596-4
- Yu, F., Chen, Y., and Dong, M. (2002). Clonal integration enhances survival and performance of *Potentilla anserina*, suffering from partial sand burial on Ordos plateau, China. *Evol. Ecol.* 15, 303–318. doi: 10.1023/A:1016032831038
- Zhang, H., Wang, R., Wang, X., Du, N., Ge, X., Du, Y., et al. (2015). Recurrent water level fluctuation alleviates the effects of submergence stress on the invasive riparian plant *Alternanthera philoxeroides*. *PLoS ONE* 10:e0129549. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0129549
- Zhou, J., Dong, B. C., Alpert, P., Li, H. L., Zhang, M. X., Lei, G. C., et al. (2012). Effects of soil nutrient heterogeneity on intraspecific competition in the invasive, clonal plant *Alternanthera philoxeroides*. *Ann. Bot.* 109, 813–818. doi: 10.1093/aob/mcr314
- Zhu, Z., Zhou, C., and Yang, J. (2015). Molecular phenotypes associated with anomalous stamen development in *Alternanthera philoxeroides*. *Front. Plant Sci.* 6:242. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2015.00242

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2016 Zhang, Liu, Wang and Liu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.